

Managing Agricultural Innovation for Environmental Sustainability: A Literature Review

Rirkrit Haripitak¹, Cinnakorn Burirat¹

¹Faculty of Social Sciences, Chiang Mai University, Thailand

Received: January 18, 2026

Received in Revised: February 19, 2026

Accepted: March 1, 2026

Abstract

Agriculture is one of the crucial factors to the food security and economic growth of the world but at the same time it is a major cause of environmental degradation due to the level of resource exploitation, reliance on chemicals as well as unsustainable land-management practices. With an increasing environmental problem and the strains of global warming, agricultural technology has increasingly been promoted as a potential solution to environmental sustainability. The current research performs an extensive literature review, which questions the management of agricultural innovation to achieve environmentally sustainable results. The review is a synthesis of the scholarly inquiries that focus on the technological, agroecological, institutional, and social innovations in agricultural systems, and examines the ways in which their management alters the environmental performance. The results of the review show that sustainability-based agricultural innovation can be promoted in the most effective way when it is supported by integrated management practices presupposing the foregrounding of stakeholder cooperation, adaptive governance, knowledge sharing, and supportive policy paradigms. The literature also highlights the issue of ongoing challenges such as inequitable access to innovation, weak institutional coordination and implementation that are often constraining the environmental benefits of innovation. The synthesis of fragmented studies across several different fields provides a comprehensive understanding of the intersection point between innovation management and environmental sustainability in agriculture offered by this study. The review also adds to the theoretical discourse, by clarifying major conceptual trends, common drivers and impediments and highlighting the central role of governance and management processes in determining sustainability outcomes. Overall, the paper shows that the process of agricultural innovation management is not merely a technical endeavor; it is a complex socio-environmental process that is invaluable in achieving the achievement of long-term environmental sustainability in the agricultural sector.

Keywords: Agricultural Innovation, Innovation Management, Environmental Sustainability

Introduction

Agriculture is one of the primary dimensions of human survival and economic development; however, it can also be defined as one of the leading factors of the environmental decline all over the world (Kociszewski, 2018). Traditional agricultural practices, characterized by intensive soil exploitation, unnecessary use of chemicals, monocropping programs and increased dependence on fossil fuels, have significantly increased the rates of soil erosion, water stress, biodiversity loss, and greenhouse gas emissions (Belete & Yadete, 2023). With the trend of population growth and food demand moving in the positive and upward direction, the dilemma of enhancing agricultural production and maintaining ecological integrity has become more complex and urgent (Katherasala et al., 2024). It is within this context that the management of agrarian advancement in the sustainability of the environment has emerged as a breakthrough issue among politicians, researchers, and professionals.

The innovation in agriculture is no longer understood as the introduction of new technologies or improved varieties of crops. Modern research argues that agricultural innovation represents a more inclusive system of technological, organizational, institutional, and social aspects that together determine the impact of agronomic activities and environmental impacts (Anandajayasekaram, 2022). The examples of sustainability-oriented innovation include precision agriculture, climate-smart farming, digital decision-support systems, and agroecological methodologies, which demonstrate how sustainability-oriented innovation can reduce environmental pressure and, at the same time, increase productivity and resilience. However, the effectiveness of these innovations is highly dependent on how they are managed, governed and integrated into the existing agricultural mechanisms.

The sustainable use of resources in the agriculture sector is increasingly defined through the sustainable management of the ecosystem and the resilience of the system over time, and not through the temporary maximization of yields (Rehman et al., 2022). Sustainable agriculture aims to bring a balance between economic sustainability, social justice, and environmental health through the promotion of agricultural practices that guarantee soil health, preserve water bodies and reduce the reliance on harmful agrochemicals. Innovation, in this case, takes a central position and provides the tools, expertise, and institutional processes necessary to transform the traditional agricultural systems into a more sustainable form. However, isolated innovations are still not enough without the implementation of the powerful management strategies that can fit the technological progress with the environmental goals.

Agricultural innovation depends on coordinated efforts of a heterogeneous group of actors, such as farmers, research institutions, private enterprises, governmental agencies, and civil society organizations to manage. Empirical studies on innovation systems in agriculture show that lack of coordination, policy fragmentation and capacity often reduce the potential environmental benefits of innovation. In addition, the processes of innovation are highly rooted in local socio-economic and ecological environments, which means that the uniformity of different outcomes of sustainability when using standardized technology across different regions is not a guarantee (Kumar & Namrata, 2024). This observation highlights the need to have flexible, participatory management frameworks that are responsive to local knowledge, environmental situation and institutional capabilities.

According to the latest research, sustainability-related agricultural innovations also face systematic obstacles, including limited access to financial resources, the lack of proper extension services, farmers being risk-averse, and policy incentives that are not consistent. Structural constraints, such as insecure tenure to land, inadequate infrastructure, and unequal access to information and technology, compound these difficulties in most developing nations (Azadi et al., 2022). On the other hand, the adoption of sustainable agricultural innovations has proven to have been speeded up by such drivers as supportive policy frameworks, market demand of the environmentally friendly products, and increasing awareness of the climate change. The subtle nature of the interaction between these drivers and barriers in the processes of innovation-management is critical towards attaining substantive environmental sustainability.

The increasing rate of climate-related disasters such as droughts, floods, and high temperatures has enhanced the need to seek innovative and sustainable methods of managing agriculture. The challenge of climate change presents a significant threat to food security and subsistence in the countryside making resilience one of the central elements of sustainable food systems (Wijerathna & Pathirana, 2022). Developments that can improve adaptive capacity like drought-resistant crops, diversified agricultural systems, and climate forecasting tools based on data are generally considered essential answers to these problems. However, the literature

warns that the poorly managed innovations can create undesired environmental effects, such as higher energy use, technological addiction, or social-economic isolation. This supports the need to have critical and integrative comprehension of innovation management in the agricultural sector.

Although the literature on agricultural innovation and sustainability continues to grow, the current body of literature is still disjointed with regards to the various disciplines and tends to focus on particular technologies, regions or policy tools. Numerous studies focus on technical effectiveness and do not pay much attention to governance, institutional relations, and environmental effects in the long run. This has led to the lack of coherence synthesis that incorporates various viewpoints regarding how agricultural innovation can be managed to bring about environmental sustainability. Reviews of literature is crucial in bridging this gap; it helps in synthesizing the results, determining the general themes, and explaining the overall direction of the study in this domain (Karunarathna et al., 2024).

This literature review falls within this framework as a reflective and integrative attempt to discuss the conceptualization, application and management of agricultural innovation in respect to environmental sustainability. This study adds to a deeper comprehension of the strategic position of innovation management in the enhancement of sustainable agriculture by synthesizing findings regarding environmental management, literature on innovation studies, and literature on agricultural development. The review does not produce new empirical evidence; instead, it summarizes what is already known in order to draw critical patterns, challenges and implications to subsequent policy and practice. It, therefore, highlights the fact that the management of agricultural innovation is not only a technical task, but a complicated socio-environmental process that is at the core of achieving a long-term environmental sustainability in agricultural industry.

Methods

Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative literature review design aimed at synthesizing and critically examining scholarly works on the management of agricultural innovation for environmental sustainability. A literature review approach is particularly appropriate for this study because it allows for the integration of diverse theoretical perspectives, empirical findings, and policy discussions across multiple disciplines, including agricultural science, environmental management, innovation studies, and sustainability governance. Rather than generating primary empirical data, this research focuses on consolidating existing knowledge to identify dominant themes, conceptual trends, and scholarly gaps related to sustainability-oriented agricultural innovation. The design emphasizes analytical depth and interpretative synthesis, ensuring that the findings reflect a comprehensive understanding of the field.

Data Sources

The data for this literature review were derived from peer-reviewed academic publications to ensure credibility, reliability, and scholarly rigor. Sources included international academic journals, scholarly books, edited volumes, and authoritative reports published by reputable international organizations. Major academic databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar were utilized to retrieve relevant literature. These databases were selected because of their extensive coverage of high-quality research in agriculture, environmental sustainability, and innovation management. Only publications written in English were included to maintain consistency in analysis and interpretation.

Search Strategy

A systematic and structured search strategy was employed to identify relevant literature. Key search terms were developed based on the core concepts of the study, including combinations of keywords such as *agricultural innovation*, *innovation management*, *environmental sustainability*, *sustainable agriculture*, *green innovation*, and *climate-smart agriculture*. Boolean operators (AND, OR) were used to refine the search and capture a broad yet relevant body of literature. The search process emphasized publications from the last two decades to ensure the inclusion of contemporary perspectives while allowing for the integration of seminal works that have significantly shaped the discourse on agricultural sustainability and innovation management.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To maintain analytical focus and relevance, explicit inclusion and exclusion criteria were applied during the literature selection process. Studies were included if they directly addressed agricultural innovation, innovation management, or sustainability practices within agricultural systems and demonstrated a clear link to environmental outcomes. Empirical studies, conceptual papers, and review articles were all considered, provided they contributed substantively to the research topic. Studies were excluded if they focused solely on agricultural productivity without environmental considerations, addressed innovation in non-agricultural sectors, or lacked sufficient academic rigor. Grey literature, opinion pieces, and non-peer-reviewed sources were excluded unless issued by internationally recognized institutions with strong research credibility.

Literature Screening and Selection

The screening process was conducted in multiple stages to ensure transparency and methodological rigor. Initially, titles and abstracts were reviewed to assess relevance to the research focus. Publications that met the inclusion criteria at this stage were then subjected to full-text review to evaluate their conceptual clarity, methodological soundness, and contribution to the understanding of agricultural innovation and environmental sustainability. Redundant studies, overlapping findings, and marginally relevant publications were carefully filtered out. This iterative screening process resulted in a refined corpus of literature that formed the analytical foundation of the review.

Data Extraction and Organization

Once the final set of literature was selected, relevant data were systematically extracted and organized. Key information such as research objectives, conceptual frameworks, types of innovation discussed, sustainability dimensions addressed, and major findings were documented for each source. This process facilitated cross-comparison among studies and enabled the identification of recurring patterns and divergences. The extracted data were organized thematically rather than chronologically, allowing the analysis to focus on conceptual coherence and analytical integration across different bodies of literature.

Analytical Approach

The analysis employed a thematic synthesis approach, which is well suited for qualitative literature reviews. This approach involved identifying, comparing, and synthesizing key themes related to agricultural innovation management and environmental sustainability. Themes such as technological innovation, institutional and policy mechanisms, knowledge systems, stakeholder collaboration, and environmental impact were examined in relation to how innovation is managed within agricultural systems. The analysis moved beyond descriptive summarization by critically interpreting how different studies conceptualize sustainability, assess innovation outcomes, and address contextual challenges. This

interpretative process ensured that the review generated meaningful insights rather than a mere aggregation of prior findings.

Validity and Reliability

To enhance the validity and reliability of the review, multiple strategies were employed. The use of reputable academic databases and peer-reviewed sources ensured data quality and scholarly credibility. A transparent search and screening process reduced selection bias, while thematic cross-validation across multiple studies strengthened the robustness of the findings. Additionally, the synthesis emphasized consistency and convergence of evidence while explicitly acknowledging areas of debate and contradiction within the literature. These measures contribute to the trustworthiness and academic rigor of the review.

Results and Discussion

Conceptual Foundations of Agricultural Innovation

Agricultural innovation is a multidimensional concept that extends far beyond the introduction of new technologies or improved inputs within farming systems. In contemporary scholarship, agricultural innovation is understood as a dynamic process involving changes in practices, knowledge, relationships, and institutional arrangements that collectively shape agricultural performance and sustainability outcomes. This broader understanding emphasizes that innovation is not a linear transfer of technology from research institutions to farmers, but rather a socially embedded process influenced by economic, environmental, and political contexts. As such, innovation in agriculture encompasses not only technological advancements such as improved seeds or precision farming tools, but also organizational innovations, social learning processes, and institutional reforms that enable sustainable transformation (Karunathilake et al., 2023).

Technological innovation remains a central component of agricultural innovation, particularly in addressing productivity and environmental challenges. Innovations such as digital agriculture, climate-smart technologies, biotechnology, and resource-efficient irrigation systems have been widely discussed as solutions to reduce environmental pressure while maintaining or enhancing yields. However, the literature increasingly cautions against a technology-centric perspective that overlooks contextual adaptation and management. Technological innovations generate meaningful sustainability outcomes only when they are appropriately managed, adopted, and integrated into local farming systems. This recognition has shifted scholarly attention toward understanding how technological innovation interacts with farmer behavior, extension services, market structures, and environmental conditions.

Beyond technology, organizational and institutional innovations play a critical role in shaping sustainable agricultural systems. Organizational innovation includes changes in farm management practices, cooperative structures, value chain coordination, and partnerships among stakeholders (Mehrabi et al., 2024). Institutional innovation, on the other hand, refers to transformations in policies, regulations, norms, and governance mechanisms that influence how agriculture is practiced and regulated. For instance, sustainability certification schemes, incentive-based environmental policies, and participatory governance models represent institutional innovations that encourage environmentally responsible farming. These forms of innovation are particularly important because they create enabling environments that support long-term sustainability rather than short-term productivity gains.

To capture the complexity of these interactions, scholars have increasingly adopted systems-based perspectives, particularly the Agricultural Innovation Systems (AIS) framework. The AIS approach conceptualizes innovation as the outcome of interactions among diverse actors

including farmers, researchers, policymakers, private sector actors, and civil society within a shared institutional and policy environment. This framework highlights learning, collaboration, and feedback mechanisms as central drivers of innovation. When combined with sustainable innovation frameworks, AIS provides a robust conceptual foundation for analyzing how agricultural innovation can be managed to achieve environmental sustainability. It underscores that sustainability-oriented innovation is not solely about “what” technologies are introduced, but “how” innovation processes are governed, coordinated, and aligned with ecological and social objectives.

Environmental Sustainability in Agriculture

Environmental sustainability in agriculture refers to the capacity of agricultural systems to maintain ecological balance while continuing to provide food, fiber, and other essential resources over the long term. This concept emphasizes the responsible use and conservation of natural resources such as soil, water, air, and biodiversity, ensuring that agricultural activities do not compromise the ability of future generations to meet their needs. In contrast to conventional productivity-oriented models, environmentally sustainable agriculture prioritizes long-term ecosystem health, recognizing that agricultural productivity is fundamentally dependent on the integrity of natural systems (Orzel, 2024). As a result, sustainability has become a central normative goal in agricultural development discourse, shaping how success and performance are defined and evaluated.

A key dimension of environmental sustainability in agriculture is resource efficiency, particularly in relation to land, water, and energy use. Sustainable agricultural systems seek to optimize input use by minimizing waste, reducing chemical dependency, and enhancing natural processes such as nutrient cycling and soil regeneration (Chojnacka, 2024). Practices such as conservation tillage, efficient irrigation systems, and integrated nutrient management illustrate how resource efficiency can be improved without sacrificing productivity. These approaches aim to reduce environmental externalities, including soil degradation, water pollution, and greenhouse gas emissions, while maintaining stable yields. Resource-efficient agriculture is therefore viewed as a critical pathway toward achieving both environmental protection and food security.

Another important aspect of environmental sustainability is resilience, especially in the face of climate change and environmental uncertainty. Agricultural systems are increasingly exposed to climate-related risks such as droughts, floods, temperature extremes, and shifting pest dynamics. Environmentally sustainable agriculture emphasizes diversification, adaptability, and ecosystem-based approaches to enhance resilience against these disturbances. Climate-smart agriculture, agroforestry, and diversified cropping systems are widely discussed in the literature as strategies that strengthen adaptive capacity while delivering environmental benefits. By enhancing resilience, sustainable agriculture not only protects ecosystems but also safeguards rural livelihoods and long-term agricultural viability.

Environmental sustainability has also become a central criterion in assessing agricultural performance and guiding policy interventions. Sustainability indicators—such as soil health, water-use efficiency, biodiversity levels, and carbon footprints—are increasingly used alongside economic indicators to evaluate agricultural systems. This shift reflects a broader recognition that agricultural development cannot be judged solely by output growth but must also account for environmental impacts and ecological limits. Consequently, environmental sustainability now plays a decisive role in shaping agricultural policies, innovation priorities, and development strategies. The integration of sustainability criteria into agricultural evaluation frameworks underscores the growing consensus that environmentally responsible agriculture is essential for achieving long-term development and global sustainability goals.

Types of Agricultural Innovations for Environmental Sustainability

Technological innovations represent one of the most prominent categories of agricultural innovation aimed at enhancing environmental sustainability. These innovations include precision agriculture technologies, digital farming tools, biotechnology, and the use of renewable energy in agricultural operations (Karunathilake et al., 2023). Precision agriculture, for instance, enables farmers to apply inputs such as water, fertilizers, and pesticides more accurately based on real-time data, thereby reducing waste and minimizing negative environmental impacts. Digital tools such as remote sensing, geographic information systems, and decision-support platforms further support environmentally responsible farming by improving monitoring, forecasting, and farm management efficiency. While these technologies offer significant potential for reducing resource use and emissions, their sustainability outcomes depend heavily on appropriate management, accessibility, and farmer capacity to adopt and utilize them effectively.

Agroecological innovations focus on aligning agricultural practices with natural ecological processes to promote environmental sustainability. This category includes organic farming, conservation agriculture, agroforestry, crop diversification, and integrated pest management. Rather than relying primarily on external chemical inputs, agroecological approaches emphasize soil health, biodiversity, and natural pest control mechanisms. These innovations are particularly valued for their ability to enhance ecosystem services, such as nutrient cycling, pollination, and water regulation, while reducing environmental degradation. Agroecological innovations are often context-specific and knowledge-intensive, requiring farmers to adapt practices to local ecological conditions. As a result, their successful implementation relies not only on technical knowledge but also on continuous learning and adaptive management.

Institutional and policy innovations play a crucial role in creating enabling environments for environmentally sustainable agriculture. These innovations involve changes in governance structures, regulatory frameworks, incentive systems, and market mechanisms that influence agricultural behavior. Examples include environmentally targeted subsidies, sustainability certification schemes, eco-labeling, and regulations that promote resource conservation or limit harmful practices. Such innovations are important because they shape the economic and institutional conditions under which farmers and agribusinesses operate. When well-designed, institutional and policy innovations can encourage the adoption of sustainable practices by reducing financial risks, rewarding environmentally responsible behavior, and aligning agricultural development goals with broader environmental objectives.

Social and knowledge-based innovations emphasize the role of learning, collaboration, and knowledge exchange in achieving environmental sustainability. These innovations include farmer field schools, participatory research approaches, cooperative learning networks, and the integration of indigenous and local knowledge into agricultural decision-making. By strengthening farmers' capacities and fostering collective problem-solving, social innovations enhance the adaptability and long-term sustainability of agricultural systems. Knowledge-based innovations are particularly important in addressing complex environmental challenges that cannot be solved through technology alone. They facilitate the co-creation of solutions among farmers, researchers, extension agents, and policymakers, ensuring that innovations are socially acceptable, environmentally appropriate, and contextually relevant. Together, these diverse types of agricultural innovation demonstrate that environmental sustainability is best achieved through integrated approaches rather than isolated technological solutions.

Management Strategies for Agricultural Innovation

Effective management of agricultural innovation is essential to ensure that innovation processes contribute meaningfully to environmental sustainability rather than merely increasing short-term productivity (Taishykov et al., 2024). Innovation management in agriculture involves deliberate planning, coordination, and governance of technological, institutional, and social changes within farming systems. Sustainable outcomes depend not only on the availability of innovative solutions but also on how these solutions are introduced, adapted, and embedded within existing agricultural practices. As environmental challenges are complex and context-dependent, innovation management must move beyond linear diffusion models toward more integrated and adaptive approaches that consider ecological limits, farmer behavior, and institutional capacity.

Stakeholder collaboration is a central management strategy in sustainability-oriented agricultural innovation. Agricultural innovation involves multiple actors, including farmers, researchers, extension services, private agribusinesses, policymakers, and community organizations. Collaborative management enables the alignment of diverse interests, knowledge systems, and resources toward shared environmental goals. Through participatory decision-making and co-creation processes, stakeholders can collectively identify locally appropriate innovations and ensure their relevance and acceptability. Such collaboration enhances trust, reduces resistance to change, and increases the likelihood that innovations will be adopted and sustained over time, particularly when environmental benefits are not immediately visible.

Adaptive management is another critical strategy for managing agricultural innovation under conditions of environmental uncertainty. Agricultural systems are highly dynamic, influenced by climate variability, market fluctuations, and ecological change. Adaptive management emphasizes continuous learning, monitoring, and adjustment of innovation strategies based on observed outcomes and feedback. This approach allows agricultural actors to respond flexibly to unintended environmental impacts or changing conditions, rather than rigidly adhering to predetermined plans. By integrating experimentation and reflection into innovation management, adaptive approaches support resilience and long-term sustainability within agricultural systems.

Knowledge transfer and capacity building also play a decisive role in the effective management of agricultural innovation. Sustainable innovations often require new skills, understanding, and behavioral changes among farmers and other stakeholders. Management strategies that prioritize extension services, training programs, and knowledge-sharing platforms help bridge the gap between innovation development and practical implementation. Capacity building strengthens farmers' ability to evaluate, adapt, and manage innovations in environmentally responsible ways. When combined with supportive leadership and institutional coordination across local, regional, and national levels, these strategies enable the scaling up of sustainable agricultural innovations while maintaining alignment with environmental objectives rather than narrowly defined productivity targets.

Conclusion

The literature reviewed in this study underscores that managing agricultural innovation is a central determinant of whether innovation contributes to environmental sustainability or exacerbates existing ecological pressures. Sustainable agricultural transformation cannot be achieved through technological advancement alone, but requires integrated management approaches that align technological, institutional, and social innovations with long-term environmental objectives. Effective innovation management is characterized by stakeholder collaboration, adaptive governance, knowledge exchange, and supportive policy frameworks that enable context-sensitive implementation and scaling of sustainable practices. By

synthesizing diverse strands of scholarship, this literature review highlights that environmental sustainability in agriculture depends not only on what innovations are introduced, but on how innovation processes are coordinated, governed, and embedded within agricultural systems. As environmental challenges intensify, strengthening innovation management emerges as a critical pathway for advancing resilient, resource-efficient, and environmentally responsible agricultural development.

References

- Anandajayasekeram, P. (2022). The role of agricultural R&D within the agricultural innovation systems framework. In *Innovation in small-farm agriculture* (pp. 75-87). Boca Raton: CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003164968>
- Azadi, H., Burkart, S., Movahhed Moghaddam, S., Mahmoudi, H., Janečková, K., Sklenička, P., ... & Nadiri, H. (2022). Famine in the Horn of Africa: Understanding institutional arrangements in land tenure systems. *Food Reviews International*, 38(sup1), 829-845. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2021.1888974>
- Belete, T., & Yadete, E. (2023). Effect of mono cropping on soil health and fertility management for sustainable agriculture practices: A review. *Plant Sci*, 11, 192-197.
- Chao, K. (2024). Family farming in climate change: Strategies for resilient and sustainable food systems. *Heliyon*, 10(7).
- Chojnacka, K. (2024). Sustainable chemistry in adaptive agriculture: A review. *Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry*, 46, 100898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2024.100898>
- Karunarathna, I., Alvis, K. D., Gunasena, P., Hapuarachchi, T., Ekanayake, U., Rajapaksha, S., ... & Jayawardana, A. (2024). Bridging research gaps: How to write a focused and critical literature review.
- Karunathilake, E. M. B. M., Le, A. T., Heo, S., Chung, Y. S., & Mansoor, S. (2023). The path to smart farming: Innovations and opportunities in precision agriculture. *Agriculture*, 13(8), 1593. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture13081593>
- Katherasala, S., Bheenaveni, R. S., Chinthakindi, P., Vadlakonda, D., Kummari, N., & Bandi, S. S. (2024). Unveiling the detrimental impacts of intensive chemical use and monoculture on soil health and sustainable development goal 15: Life on land in Telangana. *Journal of Lifestyle and SDGs Review*, 4(2), 10-47172.
- Kociszewski, K. (2018). Sustainable development of agriculture-theoretical aspects and their implications. *Economic and Environmental Studies*, 18(3 (47)), 1119-1134.
- Kumar, H., & Namrata. (2024). Socio-economic environment and motivation to innovate: exploring grassroots innovations process in India. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 36(6), 1234-1247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2022.2088345>
- Mehrabi, S., Pérez-Mesa, J. C., García-Barranco, M. D. C., & Giagnocavo, C. (2024). Innovation Oriented Towards Sustainability in the Value Chain of Agri-Food Cooperatives. In *Environmentally Sustainable Production: Research for Sustainable Development* (pp. 123-138). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-52656-5_7
- Orzel, E. C. (2024). *Sowing Sustainable Agriculture: Investigating "Sustainability" in the Academic Discourse on Precision Agriculture* (Doctoral dissertation, Université d'Ottawa/University of Ottawa).

- Rehman, A., Farooq, M., Lee, D. J., & Siddique, K. H. (2022). Sustainable agricultural practices for food security and ecosystem services. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 29(56), 84076-84095. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-022-23635-z>
- Taishykov, Z., Tolysbayeva, M., Zhumanazarov, K., Ibraimova, S., & Mizambekova, Z. (2024). Management of innovation processes in agriculture. *World Development Perspectives*, 33, 100566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2024.100566>
- Wijerathna-Yapa, A., & Pathirana, R. (2022). Sustainable agro-food systems for addressing climate change and food security. *Agriculture*, 12(10), 1554. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture12101554>